

# Math 104, Summer 2019

## Lecture 9, Monday 7/8/2019

**CLASS ANNOUNCEMENTS:** Today we'll be going over something very abstract, and it may not seem (immediately) applicable. Nothing today will be covered on Midterm 1.

Midterm covers lectures 1-7, in-class. Basically, no self-made cheat-sheets; the central theorems and results are required to be known by heart; so memorize those.

We shouldn't cite results non-assigned exercises in the book, but this won't be penalized (if those results help reduce a given problem).

As mentioned before, we'll have True-False sections questioning if a statement holds with slight modifications. We might have sections just asking to simply cite major results, like the definition of a Cauchy sequence or Convergence.

### 1 Topics/Goals:

- Metrics / Metric Spaces
- Completeness of  $\mathbb{R}$

---

- Rudiments of Topology (in  $\mathbb{R}$ ); generalization of “openness” and “closedness”
- Compactness (very fundamental to Topology)
- Heine-Borel Theorem (compact iff closed, bounded), a characterization of compactness in  $\mathbb{R}^k$

For us, topological space will simply mean (in our case) metric space.

### 2 Metric Spaces

#### Definition: Metric Space -

A **metric space** is a set  $S$  together with a **metric**  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ , a function defined for all pairs of elements  $x, y \in S$ . For our use, we want  $d(x, y)$  to emulate the “distance” between  $x, y$ .

Axioms (Definitions) of a Metric Space:

- D1.  $d(x, x) = 0, \forall x \in S$  (distance from point to itself is 0, and nonzero distance implies distinct points)
- D2.  $d(x, y) = d(y, x), \forall x, y \in S$  (distance is symmetric in direction)
- D3.  $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z), \forall x, y, z \in S$  (triangle inequality)

Note that these three alone imply nonnegativity by setting  $x = z$  and letting  $y$  be arbitrary.

**Examples of metrics:**

$$d(a, b) := |a - b|$$

$$d(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) := \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + \cdots + (x_k - y_k)^2}$$

$$d(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) := |x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2| + \cdots + |x_k - y_k|, \quad \text{taxicab metric}$$

Now we can generalize to an arbitrary metric space, by taking our definitions, and anywhere we have absolute values, we can just augment the statement into a metric space definition.

So, in order for a sequence to converge, we generalize past the  $|s_n - s| < \epsilon$  definition to now say something about  $d(s_n, s)$  and  $d(s_n, s_m)$ .

**Definition: Convergence in a Metric Space -**

A sequence  $(s_n)$  of points in a metric space  $S$  with a metric  $d$  **converges** to  $s \in S$  if

$$d(s_n, s) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}$$

**Remark:** This isn't to say the distance is necessarily equal to 0; just that it tends to 0.

**Definition: Cauchy Sequence -**

We say  $(s_n)$  is **Cauchy** if :

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \quad \exists_N [ d(s_m, s_n) < \epsilon, \quad \forall m, n > N ]$$

**Definition: Completeness -**

The (metric) space  $S$  is **complete** if **every** Cauchy sequence of points in  $S$  converges to some  $s \in S$ .

**Remark:** Thus we have a notion of completeness without ordering as for which we set axioms for  $\mathbb{R}$ .

So we may want to ask, does the Euclidean metric (as we normally conduct distance) give a complete space? We define

$$\mathbb{R}^k$$

to mean the Euclidean metric.

**Lemma 2.1.** A sequence  $(\vec{x}^{(n)})$  converges in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  if and only if each sequence  $(x_j^{(n)})$  converges in  $\mathbb{R}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

That is, for each  $j$ , we have a sequence depending on  $n$ . Consider:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{x}^{(1)} &= (x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)}, x_3^{(1)}, \dots, x_k^{(1)}) \\ \vec{x}^{(2)} &= (x_1^{(2)}, x_2^{(2)}, x_3^{(2)}, \dots, x_k^{(2)}) \\ &\vdots \\ \vec{x}^{(n)} &= (x_1^{(n)}, x_2^{(n)}, x_3^{(n)}, \dots, x_k^{(n)})\end{aligned}$$

So we construct:

$$\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k).$$

We show:

$$\left| x_j^{(m)} - x_j^{(n)} \right| \leq d(\vec{x}^{(m)}, \vec{x}^{(n)}),$$

and also we know (as a generous bound):

$$d(\vec{x}^{(m)}, \vec{x}^{(n)}) \leq \sqrt{k} \max \left\{ \left| x_j^{(m)} - x_j^{(n)} \right| \right\}$$

Then as a theorem,

**Theorem 2.2.** Euclidean  $k$ -space  $\mathbb{R}^k$  is **complete**.

To show this, we take an arbitrary Cauchy sequence and show it converges. By the above lemma, then each  $(x_j^n)$  is Cauchy in  $\mathbb{R}$  for each  $j$ . So  $x_j^{(n)} \rightarrow x_j \in \mathbb{R}$  for some  $x_j$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . And by the above lemma again,  $\vec{x}^{(n)} \rightarrow \vec{x}$ , where  $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ .

### 3 Boundedness in $\mathbb{R}^k$

**Definition: Bounded -**

A set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$  is **bounded** if  $\exists M$  with

$$\max\{|x_j| : j = 1, \dots, k\} \leq M, \quad \forall \vec{x} \in S$$

**Theorem 3.1.** Bolzano-Weierstrass for  $\mathbb{R}^k$ :

Every bounded sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  has a convergent subsequence.

To see this, we start with sequence  $(\vec{x}^{(n)})$ , which is bounded. Then  $(x_1^{(n)})$  is bounded as a sequence, so there exists some subsequence of  $(\vec{x}^{(n)})$  such that  $(s_1^{(n_k)})$  converges in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then, we look at  $(x_2^{(n_k)})$ , and we take a convergent subsequence. We iterate through this a finite ( $k$ ) number of times and construct our subsequence.

Essentially, we take our subsequences, drop terms until we get (or construct) something that converges.

## 4 Rudiments of Topology

### Definition: Interior (or Neighborhood or Open Ball) -

Let  $E \subseteq S$ , where  $S$  is a metric space. We say  $s_0 \in E$  is **interior** to  $E$  if  $\exists_r [r > 0]$  such that:

$$(B_r(s_0) :=) \quad \{s \in S : d(s, s_0) < r\} \subseteq E$$

Sometimes, there's no radius small enough that makes this work.

We define  $E^\circ :=$  the set of interior points of  $E$ . And we say  $E$  is **open** if  $E = E^\circ$  (i.e. every point of  $E$  is interior).

**Definition 2.8** (Neighborhoods). The *neighborhood* or *open ball* of a point  $x$  of radius  $r > 0$  in a metric space is

$$N_r(x) := \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) < r\}$$

The *closed ball* of  $x$  and  $r$  is

$$\overline{N_r(x)} := \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) \leq r\}$$

It's often helpful to think of a metric space as a horribly twisted version of (a subset of)  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , so that it can be drawn on a piece of paper. Then, a ball  $N_r(x)$  is literally a circle of radius  $r$ , centered on a point  $x$ .

### 13.8 Definition.

Let  $(S, d)$  be a metric space. A subset  $E$  of  $S$  is *closed* if its complement  $S \setminus E$  is an open set. In other words,  $E$  is closed if  $E = S \setminus U$  where  $U$  is an open set.

Because of (iii) in Discussion 13.7, the intersection of *any* collection of closed sets is closed [Exercise 13.5]. The *closure*  $E^-$  of a set  $E$  is the intersection of all closed sets containing  $E$ . The *boundary* of  $E$  is the set  $E^- \setminus E^\circ$ ; points in this set are called *boundary points* of  $E$ .

To get a feel for these notions, we state some easy facts and leave the proofs as exercises.

### 13.9 Proposition.

Let  $E$  be a subset of a metric space  $(S, d)$ .

- (a) The set  $E$  is closed if and only if  $E = E^-$ .
- (b) The set  $E$  is closed if and only if it contains the limit of every convergent sequence of points in  $E$ .
- (c) An element is in  $E^-$  if and only if it is the limit of some sequence of points in  $E$ .
- (d) A point is in the boundary of  $E$  if and only if it belongs to the closure of both  $E$  and its complement.

**Remark:** The only sets in  $\mathbb{R}$  that are both open and closed are:  $\{\}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  itself.

**Facts about Open Sets:**

- For any (metric) space  $S$ ,  $S$  is open in  $S$ , and  $\{\}$  is open in  $S$ .
- Arbitrary (possibly infinite) unions of open sets are open
- **Finite** intersections of open sets are open

Considering the last point's restriction to finite intersections, note, as before we've found that

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{-1}{n}, 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) = [0, 1].$$

**Definition: Closed -**

We say  $E \subseteq S$  is **closed** if  $S \setminus E$  is open. Additionally, we define:

$E^- :=$  the intersection of all closed subsets of  $S$  containing  $E$  ("closure of  $E$ ").

So essentially, the closure of something like  $[a, b)$  is effectively  $[a, b]$ . The closure of any set is unique.

Hence,

**Definition: boundary -**

The **boundary** of  $E$  is simply

$$E^- \setminus E^\circ.$$

**Facts about Closed Sets:**

- $E$  is closed if and only if  $E = E^-$ .
- $E$  is closed if and only if it contains the limit of every convergent sequence of points from  $E$ . (this is what we stated for the set of subsequential limits)
- A point  $s$  is in the boundary of  $E$  if and only if  $s \in E$  and  $s \in S \setminus E$ .